

From: tom1@dr rheology.com
Sent: 12 February 2013 20:37
To: 'nigel.parr@cheshire.pnn.police.uk'
Cc: 'helenm.jones@cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk'; Barbara Crawford
Subject: 13 02 12 Dobbie evidence by Aurora - replies

Dear Nigel,

1. Helen says there are no new disclosures by Aurora about her mother's violence. This is utter nonsense. Did Helen listen to the blogs ?

1.1. I just attended a court case where social services are satisfied to have officially reported by them that Mrs Dobbie ONCE slapped her children, and that was it. That is social services standpoint - that Mrs Dobbie lost the rag and ONE time only slapped the children.

1.2. Mrs Dobbie reported herself for hitting her children and throwing a child over the bed.

1.3. In Chester Social services report, Mrs Dobbie admitted to having frequent slapping fights with the children that got very abusive. She made an agreement with Chester Social Services to stop these slapping fights.

1.4. Aurora's blogs tells of Mrs Dobbie frequently slapping Orion so much that he used to wee himself when she shouted at him.

1.5. Aurora's blogs tell that Orion was frequently slapped hard during nappy changes and then emotionally abused being sent into the hall to wee himself. It was agreed and recorded with the social services that I took over nappy changing because Mrs Dobbie could not cope with poo.

1.6. Aurora's blogs tell of one of the incidents where Mrs Dobbie is violently slapping Orion trapped in a room and shouting 'Fuck Off' to Aurora and I for trying to get into the room to stop the assault on Orion.

1.7. On the night of the 23rd July 2010. Mrs Dobbie was assaulting Orion because he wanted a cup of juice.

1.7.1. Mrs Dobbie's version which was proven to be a lie in court gives her protecting the children from an attack by Mr Dobbie with Mr Dobbie shouting obscenities at her.

1.7.2. Aurora's blog shows that Orion was desperately trying to get away from Mrs Dobbie. Her Blog shows that Aurora came downstairs and cuddled into me as I was looking for help on the internet to solve this domestic violence. Aurora was concerned that I would divorce mummy and get rid of her because we were all so fed up being assaulted and abused. Aurora also tells that Orion was sleeping in the living room with me when she came down in the morning (Mrs Dobbie's version had both children cowering upstairs asleep with her because she said they were scared of dad).

1.7.3. Aurora's blog shows there were no wounds on anybody, let alone some golf ball sized wound as reported later that day by PC Owens.

1.7.4. ***** This shows that either PC Owens lied, or Mrs Dobbie faked a wound to pervert the course of justice and carry out harassment of Mr Dobbie by lying to the police *****

1.7.5. Aurora's blog shows she knew that mum told lots of lies about this assault to the police, neighbours and school mothers.

1.7.5.1. PS Helen - that's emotional abuse.

1.7.6. Aurora's blog tells of the time when she got the big slap on the thigh by mum - the one in the photograph, and Dana Murray said Aurora was lying.

1.8. So, Helen records that mum only ONCE slapped her child. Aurora's blogs record multiple slapping, assaults and abuses. This is backed by other witnesses and evidence.

1.8.1. Helen is currently content with mum's version - against lots of evidence to the contrary, including the children's.

1.8.2. Helen - you told me you were truthful when we first met. Can you explain how this is truthful please ?

1.9. Aurora's blogs show mum was emotionally abusive to Aurora when Aurora was having a birthday party at dad's house. Social services report mum was fully compliant and doing great at that time.

1.9.1. The written history shows how Mrs Dobbie terrorised Aurora on 4th Feb 2012. Social services wrote in their records that Mrs Dobbie was being fully compliant.

1.9.2. It shows how there is enormous discrepancy between paper compliance and the reality of this case.

2. Aurora has told numerous people about the assaults on her and Orion by her mother. Aurora has told Andrea Blears previously who has gone on to hide the events - this is easily demonstrable to CPS as a criminal offense.

3. I am reporting to you the CRIMINAL offense of child abuse being covered up by Ellesmere Port Social Services. It is only a matter of time before this becomes public like Barnsley, Rochdale, Haringey etc.

3.1. May I remind you, that the only thing I do in my life is look after my children. I would prefer to be doing it directly with them as I have no other distractions or interests.

4. Aurora had told CAFCASS about being scared of Ken Redman and the basis of her fear put into her primarily by her own mother and directly by Ken Redman. Aurora reports that the response of the CAFCASS guardian was to try and persuade Aurora to like a man her own mother had put extreme fear into. This is another official complaint to CAFCASS.

5. Why does Helen not want the truth ? Aurora has been telling lots of people, friends, pupils teachers. Aurora has access to computers and other communications, so the gagging will not last for very long.

6. What is the basis for Helen refusing to allow Aurora to tell the truth in a witnessed way ? This was an activity that only a few months ago was defined as ESSENTIAL by Prof. Tom Billington. So why has Helen gone against one of the advice of one of the country's leading specialists in this area ?

7. Not having the truth is psychologically damaging Aurora and Orion further.

8. Helen is promoting to Aurora that domestic violence is acceptable and you can get away with it easily by following her mother's route of telling lies.

9. Helen is promoting to Aurora that lying to police and authorities is acceptable.

10. Both criminal courts recorded that Mrs Dobbie was assaulting Orion. Both criminal courts recorded that Mrs Dobbie initiated an assault on Mr Dobbie. The high court recorded that the lower court got the supposed retaliation wrong.

11. That Mrs Dobbie was violent and abusive is recorded by Aurora, myself, Graham, Lydia, Carolyn.

12. That Mrs Dobbie was extremely abusive to Aurora during her time under supervision with social services is recorded by Aurora, me, Lydia, Carolyn, Barbra Goldsmith, police.

13. The children's act 1989 puts the children's welfare first; not Mrs Dobbie's desperation to cover up her violence, abuse and lies. Social services are putting themselves in the second camp.

14. Helen Jones is simply reporting that Mrs Dobbie is extremely compliant in front of her on paper. If she asks Aurora about these incidents, Mrs Dobbie's compliance is extremely dubious and actually amounts to extreme emotional abuse.

15. Social services are merely acting as a spokesman for Mrs Dobbie and are not acting in the best interests of the children.

16. Social services had my children taken off of me for me speaking the truth, and only the truth. Social services have never tested the truth and are willing to take my children off of me based on me telling the truth. The social services case against me is purely based on them desperately avoiding the truth that Mrs Dobbie was violent and abusive to her children. Aurora has been telling people this for two years and still is telling people this. This is not a fabrication of mine. This is not a fabrication of two criminal courts and various witnesses.

17. For over two years, social services have said that Mrs Dobbie was not violent to her children. The animosity that social services have with Mr Dobbie is because he and the children and witnesses and courts all agree that Mrs Dobbie was violent, abusive and told lies.

18. Social services Andrea Blears reports in court documents that Mr Redman is a splendid fellow supporting Mrs Dobbie and the children. That Mr Dobbie invented the story about Mr Redman the pervert and his abuses of the children.

18.1. This is wholly at odds with Aurora's blogs and with CAFCASS reports and with witnesses.

18.2. NIGEL - Mrs Dobbie has used social services to cause great harassment of Mr Dobbie and Aurora's blogs and CAFCASS documents and witnesses all support it.

I request CPS be given the full facts and access to the grossly incompetent social services reports which will clearly show this is criminal harassment.

17. I ask Helen Jones to justify why she is mentally abusing my children by trying to convince them that domestic violence is acceptable ?

18. I ask Helen Jones why she is mentally abusing my children in trying to convince them their mother is not what they know her as ?

19. If Mrs Dobbie was truly what Helen attempts to portray her as, she would admit fully to her previous dreadful behaviour and tell her children she is sorry and it will never happen again.

19.1. By supporting Mrs Dobbie's lies and ignoring what the children really know, Helen is helping propagate toxic behaviour into these children.

19. I ask Helen Jones why she is mentally abusing my children by forcing them to live away from a high empathy, highly educated dad who has devoted his life to them ?

20. As for stealing property, harassing people by using the authorities - all Helen Jones is teaching my children is how to be immoral.

21. As for criminal harassment; the CPS case for harassment is perfectly clear. It is only when you remove the extensive evidence of Mrs Dobbie's violence that the case becomes cloudy. There are plenty of witnesses and evidence for the CPS. I will take this to the highest level in this country if I have to.

21.1. I am already preparing paperwork for the European Court Of Human Rights.

22. As for the Ken Redman stuff, this is extreme emotional abuse by Mrs Dobbie on Aurora and Orion. It was reported to you about his physical abuse of Orion and all that social services have done is try and avoid the question. There has been no attempt by social services or the police to investigate this crime reported on a child.

22.1. Having to use tablet computers to lure children back to their mother's is so absurd.

22.2. Mr Redman using a dog to try and groom his way towards my daughter is squeamish.

23. All I want is my children safe, and growing up in the best possible ways as decent human beings who can navigate some of life's inevitable difficulties and go on to have a great life.

24. Come on Helen, let's see you living up to that promise you made when we first met about being truthful and getting the best for my children.

I'd much rather get on with having a great time with my children. However, from the reply you gave me Nigel, there will be much more to follow and I shall be broadening the people and bodies involved.

Best regards,
Tom Dobbie
DrRheology Limited
Technical Office
6 Coventry Avenue,
Great Sutton,
Ellesmere Port,
Cheshire,
CH66 2GL

Mobile 07900 808 600

e-mail : Tom1@DrRheology.com

Public web :www.DrRheology.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: Dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent of a system responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please inform the sender and delete all copies. The information is confidential between the sender and the recipient. If you do not accept this, then please delete the email and inform the sender.

☐ Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

***** any previous e-mails below *****

-----Original Message-----

From: Nigel Parr [mailto:nigel.parr@cheshire.pnn.police.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2013 14:37

To: 'tom1@DrRheology.com'

Cc: 'helenm.jones@cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk'

Subject: RE: 13 02 12 Dobbie evidence by Aurora -part2

Mr Dobbie,

I thank you for the below and I can see your situation is very difficult. I want to explain that from a police perspective there are a number of different issues. There are the issues over the police contact you have historically, ranging from the allegation of the assault to other complaints that in your opinion were not dealt with effectively, and these are being reviewed by DCI Beauchamp.

I have been reviewing the issues over Aurora and Orion and the historical allegations alleged by yourself to Social Care. I have looked at the blogs (the ones I can get to work) and I have discussed whether any disclosures have been made by either child to Helen and she says they have not. I am mindful of your relationship with the previous SW, Andrea Blears, but from the information I have Aurora seems to engage better with Helen. In reply to this email I anticipate you will have a completely contrasting view of CSC but they are the appointed agency, they are qualified and as they have PR they form part of the decision making process. This review is on-going as the list you prepared is quite long.

With regards to the harassment element I do not believe there is a case to answer based on the evidence thus far. There are incidents on both sides that basically undermine any allegations and it would not result in a conviction at a criminal court as jury has to

convict based on them have no reasonable doubt. There are incidents reported from both sides, with counter allegations and I have to assess, as do the CPS, whether at court the allegations from both sides would just confuse a court as to who was actually telling the truth. Unlike a Civil Court the Threshold is not on the balance of probabilities.

My main objective is to review the incidents you list in the report and make an assessment, jointly with CSC, whether there is a case to answer and what would the impact be on either child. You made previous reference to how Aurora was spoken to at the Police station, however the discussion was conducted by two very experienced Public Protection Officers and no offences were disclosed to them on that occasion.

It is important for me to take into account other specialist's view and I would like to ask are there any other agencies, that have contact with Aurora and Orion, who have had disclosures made to them by either child?

At this time CSC, who do have PR because of the Interim Care Order, have stated they would not consent to a joint video interview of either Aurora or Orion. I am conscious that agencies (including the police) are not perfect and that is why I need to complete the review and then meet with you.

Regards

Nigel Parr

-----Original Message-----

From: tom1@drRheology.com [mailto:tom1@DrRheology.com]

Sent: 12 February 2013 12:52

To: Nigel Parr

Subject: 13 02 12 Dobbie evidence by Aurora -part2

Hi Nigel,

Just for clarity, when you mentioned that you had already started working on my list- did you mean the document attached ? This was written to help prepare for the court appearance in Feb 2013.

I also want to make it clear that last week in court, the local authority coerced me into dropping my request for a judicial inquiry into the violence on my children. They said that they would fully prevent me seeing my children again if I did not agree to dropping the judicial inquiry. This was part of their lawyers negotiating. I find it sick and immoral - but hey, that's lawyers for you.

As it was only preventing clarification within the current private court hearings, then it could not stop the police doing their duty to investigate this both as a criminal activity and as police negligence. It also could not stop Aurora suing them at a later stage for covering up the violence and abuse carried out on the children and permitted - even encouraged - by the local authority.

I have complained of harassment by Mrs Dobbie to the police for over two years. There is enough evidence clearly before you for CPS to make a very strong case in criminal proceedings against Mrs Dobbie and Ken Redman for extensive harassment and perverting the course of justice.

Best regards,
Tom Dobbie
DrRheology Limited
Technical Office
6 Coventry Avenue,
Great Sutton,
Ellesmere Port,
Cheshire,

CH66 2GL

Mobile 07900 808 600

e-mail : Tom1@DrRheology.com

Public web :www.DrRheology.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: Dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent of a system responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please inform the sender and delete all copies. The information is confidential between the sender and the recipient. If you do not accept this, then please delete the email and inform the sender.

This email and its contents should be treated as "NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED" unless otherwise stated

This communication is intended for the addressee(s) only.

Please notify the sender if received in error. Internet email is not to be treated as a secure means of communication.

The Constabulary monitors all Internet and email activity and requires it is used for official communications only. Thank you for your co-operation.