

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE Case No: EQ12C00115
FAMILY DIVISION
CHESTER District Registry

This abusive document was produced by Cheshire West and Chester to gag the dad from being on Facebook and Writing Poems. They did not want anybody to know they had helped the mum to further abuse the children.

BETWEEN:

CESHIRE WEST and CHESTER BOROUGH COUNCIL

Applicant

And

[mum name removed]

First Respondent

And

[dad name removed]

Second Respondent

And

[childrens names removed]
(through their children's guardian)

AFFIDAVIT OF **HELEN JONES**

The evil social worker Helen Jones had been laughing and smiling going into court supposedly to imprison dad. Her manager Judith Griffiths was so happy she skipped into the court. They were utterly abusive and were wholly wrong. No prison for dad. But they wasted another £50,000

1. I, **Helen Jones**, am employed by Cheshire West and Chester Borough Council as a **Senior Practitioner based at 4 Civic Way, Ellesmere Port, Cheshire within the Children in Care Team.**
2. On the 5 November 2012 it was directed at paragraph 2 of that order that: 'The first Respondent, [dad] is strictly forbidden (whether by himself, his servants, his agents or otherwise) from disseminating in any way any information or details concerning:

- a. This case and these proceedings; and/or
- b. Case number CH10P00736; and/or
- c. The Applicant; and/or
- d. **Andrea Blears**; and/or
- e. The Second Respondent; and/or
- f. The Third Respondents; and/or
- g. The Children's Guardian, Mr **A. Rawlinson**; and
- h. **Cafcass**

They wasted around £50,000 in the one day to try and wrongly imprison dad. They have spent over £500,000 of tax payers money in total to cover up their abuse of 2 children

Dad wrote poems – they wanted him gagged by imprisonment for writing poems. You are paying for these abusers.

- And **the book of poems** referred to at Court today save:
- i. The restriction in relation to the bodies or persons specified in subparagraphs (c), (d) and (h) shall apply only in so far as the activities and/or the operations of those bodies or persons relate to or are concerned with the children who are the subjects of the present proceedings; and
 - j. As it is permitted by Rule 12.75, Family Procedure Rules 2010. This part of this order shall remain in force until the earlier of the following namely, the conclusion of case number EQ12C000115, any further order of this Court which is made in respect of paragraph 2a above or the 1 January 2015.

3. There is clear notice of the consequences of breaching the order in that it states at the end of that order as follows:

'NOTICE AS TO DISOEDIENCE OF COURT ORDER

TO:- [dad]

OF: [dad's address in rented house] CH66 []

YOU MUST OBEY THE DIRECTIONS CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPHS 1a, 1b, 2 & 3 THIS ORDER. IF YOU DO NOT YOU WILL BE GUILTY OF CONTEMPT OF COURT AND YOU MAY BE SENT TO PRISON.

4. Mr [dad] attended the hearing on the 5 November 2012. However, I understand that he was also served with the order in person by **Jeff Kirkbride**, Court Liaison Officer, on the 5 November 2012 at 15:45.
5. The matter was last before the Court on 4 February 2013. Within the order from this hearing it is recorded that: 'Father continues to make inappropriate postings **on face book** but assured the Court today that he would **deactivate the account** forthwith....The Court warned Father about the consequences of continuing to breach the injunction order made on the 5th of November 2012.**The Local Authority intends to monitor the situation** and take appropriate enforcement action if Father continues to breach the injunction order.'
6. On the 22 February 2013 I was forwarded the below e-mail from Susanne Leece, Independent Conference Chair, as she no longer has ongoing involvement with this matter:

Cheshire West
spend tax
payers money
spying on
Facebook
accounts.
They have
printed lots
and lots of
pages from
dad's alone.

E-mail from [dad] to former Child Protection Chair

'From: [\[dad email address removed\]](#) February 2013 01:15

To: LEECE, Susanne

Subject:

Dear Susanne,

You chaired meetings where you recorded I was an angry man supposedly unjustified by my comments about my daughter being abused by her mother. **It has now been accepted by social services that my wife lied and the abuses were actually real.**

You have been set a download authorisation by one of my friends to let you hear some of the abuses.

My friends are determined to make every effort to ensure as many people worldwide know that **social services at Ellesmere Port not only allowed child abuse to take place, but made efforts to permit it.**

Best regards,

[dad name removed]

[dad rented house address removed]

7. I was particularly concerned with the following paragraph contained within the above mentioned e-mail: 'My friends are determined to make every effort to ensure as many people worldwide know that social services at Ellesmere Port not only allowed child abuse to take place, but made efforts to permit it.'
8. The above paragraph will have necessitated [dad] having shared information about the applicants, Cheshire West and Chester Borough Council and in particular Ellesmere Port Children's Services, and the children, [children names removed] , whom [dad] regards as the subjects of 'abuse' with third parties referred to by Mr [dad] as his 'friends'.
9. A copy of the aforementioned email is exhibited to this affidavit and marked "HJ1".
10. In addition, on the 22 February at 00:08 Mr [dad][sent the following e-mail:
From: [dad cloud web drive storage removed]
Sent: 22 February 2013 00:08
To: [children's school HEAD]
Subject: A file has been shared with you

[dad name removed] has shared a file with you!

[dad] uses Livedrive to access their files from any computer in the world and has shared the file [*****blogs] with you. You can view and download the file using the link below:

[live url address removed]

The first time you use this link it will log you in automatically, after which the download page will ask you for a password. On that page, enter the password: [*****]

You can also log in and view all files shared with you from the following address:

[cloud address url]

Enter the following login details:

Username: [username]

Password: [*****]

Thanks

Livedrive team

<http://www.livedrive.com>

11. The attachment on the left of e-mail links to a PDF file containing Mr [dad]'s book of poems.
12. A copy of the aforementioned email is exhibited to this affidavit and marked "HJ2".

13. A copy of **the aforementioned book of poems is exhibited to this affidavit** and marked "HJ3".

14. Mr [dad] has disregarded the direction forbidding him from sharing information with third parties, by sharing information with his 'friends' and by providing the book of poems he has compiled to the school. As such, consideration needs to be given to enforcing the order in accordance with the notice of disobedience included within the order of His Honour Judge Barnett dated 5 November 2012. Enforcement of the order is sought by the Local Authority, as Mr [dad] seems incapable of abiding by the clear warnings provided on more than one occasion by the Court.

Sworn thisday of2013

Before me.....

At.....

Commissioner for Oaths/Solicitor/Officer of the Court Appointed to take Oath

remaining
pages
not shown

Cheshire West local authority would never have written the affidavit and application for imprisonment if they were professional and competent.

But they are not competent.

Just as in their dealings with the children, they were completely incompetent.

Judge Barnett is their friend, and covered up their negligence here by pretending the previous court instructions had been misinterpreted by them.

These highly paid people spending tax payers money to abuse children and abuse anyone who complains about them.